

Comment on “Mixing and Decay Constants of Pseudoscalar Mesons”

M. Kirchbach

*Escuela de Fisica, Univ. Aut. de Zacatecas,
Apartado Postal C-580, Zacatecas, ZAC 98068 Mexico
and*

Institut für Kernphysik, Universität Mainz, D-55099 Mainz, Germany

The key assumption used recently by Feldmann, Kroll and Stich [Phys. Rev. **D58**, 114006 (1998)] stating that the decay constants f_η , and $f_{\eta'}$ of the respective η and η' mesons in the quark flavor basis follow the pattern of strange and non-strange quarkonia mixing in their wave functions, is reproduced in identifying the non-isotriplet part of the strong neutral axial current of the quarks with the genuine axial hypercharge current $J_{\mu,5}^Y = \bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{Y}{2}q$, where $Y = C + S + B$ is defined by the Gell-Mann-Nakano-Nishijima relation as the sum of charm (C), strangeness (S), and baryon (B) quark quantum numbers. The inequivalence between the octet and hypercharge axial currents is pointed out.

PACS: 11.40.Ha, 11.30.Hv

Recent investigations on the $\eta(\eta') \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decays revealed the necessity for accounting for $SU(3)_F$ violation by introducing two different mixing angles between the flavor octet and singlet states in the wave functions of the η and η' mesons, respectively, [1]. On the contrary, in Ref. [2], where the octet-singlet basis was rigorously given up in favor of the quark flavor one, the decay constants of the strange and non-strange quarkonia parts of the $\eta(\eta')$ wave functions, in turn denoted by f_i^s and f_i^q with $i = \eta, \eta'$, have been successfully calculated in terms of only one meson independent mixing angle between the quark flavor states. The basic starting point in Ref. [2] is contained in Eqs. (2.1-2.3) there, where f_i^q , and f_i^s have been assumed to follow the pattern of the strange-non-strange quarkonia mixing according to

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_\eta^q & f_\eta^s \\ f_{\eta'}^q & f_{\eta'}^s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f^q & 0 \\ 0 & f^s \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Here, f^q and f^s in turn denote the decay constants of the flavor basis states given by the respective non-strange, ($\eta^q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d)$) and strange ($\eta^s = \bar{s}s$) quarkonia. An appealing feature of the scheme of Ref. [2] is that the f^s/f^q ratio, in being expressed in terms of the anomaly matrix elements $\langle 0 | G\tilde{G} | \eta^q(\eta^s) \rangle$, where G is the gluon field tensor, while $\tilde{G}$ is its dual, allows to exclude via their Eq. (2.6) the second mixing angle appearing in the original octet-singlet basis of Ref. [1].

We here show that both the ansatz in Eq. (1) and the appearance of the axial QCD anomaly in the decay properties of the η and η' mesons find a natural explanation in identifying the strong current entering the definition of f_η^q , $f_{\eta'}^s$, $f_{\eta'}^q$, and f_η^s with the genuine hypercharge axial current, to be denoted by $J_{\mu,5}^Y$.

Recall, that the hypercharge Y in four-flavor space is determined in a unique way through the Gell-Mann-Nakano-Nishijima relation:

$$\hat{Q} = \hat{t}_3 + \frac{\hat{Y}}{2}, \quad \frac{\hat{Y}}{2} = \hat{t}_H + \frac{\hat{B}}{2}, \quad (2)$$

with $2\hat{t}_3 = \text{diag}(1, -1, 0, 0)$, $2\hat{t}_H = \text{diag}(0, 0, \hat{C}, \hat{S})$, and $\hat{B} = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3})$. The hypercharge axial current of the fundamental quark quadruplet $q = \text{column}(u, d, c, s)$ now reads

$$J_{\mu,5}^Y = \bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\hat{t}_H q + \bar{q}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\frac{\hat{B}}{2}q. \quad (3)$$

To be specific, we here calculate the matrix element of this current between the vacuum and, say, the η meson state $|\eta\rangle$

$$\langle 0 | J_{\mu,5}^Y | \eta \rangle = f_\eta i p_\mu. \quad (4)$$

In the following, the wave function of the η meson will be represented in the quark flavor basis where the violation of the OZI rule has been made explicit according to

$$|\eta\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d) \cos \alpha - \bar{s}s \sin \alpha. \quad (5)$$

In inserting Eqs. (3) and (5) into Eq. (4) and in simultaneously assuming that the quarkonium-quark couplings, denoted by κ_j , are diagonal in the flavor j , but not necessarily universal in strength, i.e.

$$\langle 0 | \bar{q}_k \frac{1}{2} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q_k | \bar{q}_j q_j \rangle = \delta_{kj} \kappa_j, \quad (6)$$

we reproduce the ansatz of Ref. [2] as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \frac{1}{2} (\bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c - \frac{2}{3} \bar{s} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 s) + \frac{1}{6} (\bar{u} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u + \bar{d} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 d + \bar{c} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 c) | \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{u} u + \bar{d} d) \cos \alpha - \bar{s} s \sin \alpha \rangle \\ = f^s \sin \alpha i p_\mu + f^q \cos \alpha i p_\mu, \\ \text{with } f^s = \frac{2}{3} \kappa_s, \quad f^q = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3} \kappa_u. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here, we assumed $\kappa_u = \kappa_d$ and thereby isospin symmetry for the light flavors. From the last equation follows that in case $\kappa_s = \kappa_u = \kappa_d$, the following relation is valid:

$$\frac{f^s}{f^q} = \sqrt{2}. \quad (8)$$

The phenomenological value for that ratio found in Ref. [2] reads $f^s/f^q = 1.34 \pm 0.06$ and is compatible with $\sqrt{2}$. Nonetheless this situation can not be interpreted as $SU(3)_F$ symmetry for the simple reason that the hypercharge axial current is not a genuine $SU(3)_F$ Noether current, an observation already reported in [3]. Indeed, the Gell-Mann-Nakano-Nishijima relation in Eq.(2) requires hypercharge to act as a generator of a pertinent $U(4)$ subgroup, called $\mathcal{S}_{OZI}$ here, and defined as

$$\mathcal{S}_{OZI} = SU(2)_{ud} \otimes SU(2)_{cs} \otimes U(1), \quad (9)$$

with the two $SU(2)$ groups in turn acting onto the 1st and 2nd quark generations. From that and Eq. (3) one directly reads off that $J_{\mu,5}^Y$ contains inevitably the anomalously divergent axial baryon number current $J_{\mu,5}^B = \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{B}{2} q$, whose divergency is proportional to $G\tilde{G}$. Because of that, the hypercharge axial current is anomalously divergent too. Indeed, in making use of the abelian axial anomaly relation for a single quark species q_j ,

$$\partial^\mu \bar{q}_j \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q_j = 2m_j \bar{q}_j i \gamma_5 q_j + \alpha_s \frac{\tilde{G}G}{4\pi}, \quad (10)$$

where, m_j is the mass of a quark of flavor j , and α_s the strong coupling constant, one easily confirms that $J_{\mu,5}^Y$ has no well defined chiral limit because its divergency contains the $U(1)_A$ QCD anomaly according to

$$\partial^\mu J_{\mu,5}^Y = (m_c \bar{c} i \gamma_5 c - m_s \bar{s} i \gamma_5 s) + \frac{1}{3} (m_u \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u + m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d + m_c \bar{c} i \gamma_5 c + m_s \bar{s} i \gamma_5 s) + \alpha_s \frac{\tilde{G}G}{6\pi}. \quad (11)$$

In this way the contribution of the gluons enters the calculation of the η (as well as η') decay properties and accounts for the successful data interpretation presented in [2]. Note that despite the circumstance, that in the truncated three flavor space the hypercharge happens by chance to coincide numerically with the octet $SU(3)_F$ generator, the octet and hypercharge axial currents are quite different observables. Indeed, in contrast to the hypercharge axial current the octet one, $J_{\mu,5}^8 = \bar{q}_3 \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \frac{\lambda_8}{2} q_3$ with $\sqrt{3}\lambda_8 = 2t_3 + 4t_3^U$, and $t_3^U = \text{diag}(0, 1, -1)$, is conserved in the chiral limit of vanishing quark masses of the quark triplet $q_3 = \text{column}(u, d, s)$:

$$\lim_{m_j \rightarrow 0} \partial^\mu J_{\mu,5}^8 = \lim_{m_j \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (m_u \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u - m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d) + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (m_d \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d - m_s \bar{s} i \gamma_5 s) \rightarrow 0, \quad (12)$$

as the anomaly contributions in the isospin and U-spin pieces cancel out, separately. The nucleon matrix element of the hypercharge axial current determines the ηN coupling constant and has been proven in [3] to be also well compatible with data.

[1] H. Leutwyler, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **64**, 223 (1998).

[2] Th. Feldmann, P. Kroll, and B. Stech, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 114006 (1998).

[3] M. Kirchbach, Phys. Rev. **D58**, 117901 (1998).